The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPTM):

Service Score Results: Baseline

Name of Program and Service: Adelph	oi Village-General Secure Care-C	
Cohort Total: 12 Ion 2012 Dec 2013		SPEP ID: <u>13</u>
Selected Timeframe: Jan. 2012-Dec. 2013 Date(s) of Interview(s): Mar. 19, 2014, Apr. 1	4 2014	_
Lead County & SPEP Team Representatives:		- v & Shawn Peck FPISCenter
Person Preparing Report: Shawn Peck & Do	ug Braden	y a shawii i cok, Li iscomei
reson repaining report.	ag Braden	-
Description of Service: This should include	a brief overview of the cornice within	the context of the program the location and
if community based or residential. Indicate the type of ye relevant information to help the reader understand th	outh referred, how the service is delivered	d, the purpose of service and any other
Adelphoi Village provides various types and levels of Types of treatment include: General Secure Care/Male Homes/Male; Drug and Alcohol Group Home/Male; Ir unit with a mental health focus/Female; and Shelter/M criminogenic risk factors, inclusive of anger and aggre strained family dynamics, and trauma. Adelphoi Village positive growth and success through applying the belief Youth are placed by the courts within one of Adelphoi This may be the initial out of home placement for a youth average length of stay for a youth within one of Adelphoi Thanks.	and Female; Secure Care for Sex Offentensive Supervision Group Homes/ Male and Female. Treatment is individual ssion issues, poor problem solving, mige's philosophy is that the cycle of degrand principles of brotherly concern Village's General Secure Care prograuth or placement here may be upon the age length of stay varies across Adelph	enders/Male; Independent Living Group fale and Female (inclusive of a specialty alized, designed to address a number of ld to moderate mental health issues, eneration can be altered in favor of coupled with proven modalities. The same at varied stages of court involvement, a court transitioning a youth already in noi Villages' different program types with
All youth in this program receive Choice Theory Grou plans. The first lesson lasts for four weeks and the nex with a facilitator and an observer, whom completes a F	t seven sessions occur twice a week. E	ach session is delivered by agency staff
The four characteristics of a service f	found to be the most stron	gly related to reducing
recidivism:		
1. <u>SPEPTM Service Type</u> : Cognitive-behavi	or Therapy	
Based on the meta-analysis, is there a q	ualifying supplemental service	? No
If so, what is the Service type? There is	no qualifying supplemental serv	vice
Was the supplemental service provided	? n/a Total Points Possibl	e for this Service Type: 35
,	T . 1D	Total Dainta Dassibles 25
	Total Points Earned: 35	Total Points Possible: <u>35</u>
2. Quality of Service: Research has shown that have a positive impact on recidivism reduction protocol, staff training and supervision, and	tion. Monitoring of quality is defi	ned by existence of written
7	Total Points Earned: 20	Total Points Possible: 20

Amount of Service: Score was derived from examination of weeks and hours each youth in the cohort received the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP service categorization. Each SPEP service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: 10 Points received for Dosage or Number of Hours: 10				
Total Points Earned: Total Points Possible: _20				
4. Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.				
$\frac{12/12}{2/12}$ youth in the cohort are Moderate, High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of $\frac{12}{2}$ points				
Total Points Earned:15 Total Points Possible: _25				
Basic SPEP TM Score:90 total points awarded out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEP therapeutic service. (eg: individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills training, mentoring, etc.) Note: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the service is having a positive impact on recidivism reduction.				
Program Optimization Percentage: 90% This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. (eg: individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research)				
The SPEP and Performance Improvement				
The intended use of the SPEP is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service feedback report, and these recommendations are the focus of the performance improvement plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the local juvenile court. The recommendations for this service included in the feedback report are:				
The Adelphoi Village General Secure Care Programs' service of Choice Theory Group scored a 90% Program Optimization Percentage This intervention was classified as a Group 5 service; Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy with no qualifying supplemental service. At all levels, the quality of the service was found to being delivered at a high level. The risk levels of youth participating in this program are 0% as Low Risk; 100% as Moderate Risk or above; and 17% as High Risk. The amount of service provided to the clients was at 100% of the recommended targeted weeks of duration and 100% of the recommended target contact hours for this service type.				
Adelphoi Village's General Secure Care Programs could improve their capacity for recidivism reduction through:				
1. Accepting higher risk youth in order to reduce recidivism supported by research.				

The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPTM):

Service Score Results: Reassessment

Name of Program and Service: Adelphoi Village-General Secure Care-Choice Theory Group				
Cohort Total: 21	SPEP ID: <u>13-T02</u>			
Selected Timeframe: Jan. 1, 2014-Jun. 30, 2015				
Date(s) of Interview(s): Jan. 6, 2016, May 4, 2016				
Lead County & SPEP Team Representatives: Doug Braden, Allegheny County	& Shawn Peck, EPISCenter			
Person Preparing Report: Shawn Peck & Doug Braden				

Description of Service: This should include a **brief** overview of the service within the context of the program, the location and if community based or residential. Indicate the type of youth referred, how the service is delivered, the purpose of service and any other **relevant** information to help the reader understand the SPEP service type classification. (350 character limit)

Adelphoi Village provides various types and levels of residential treatment programs for male and female youth across Pennsylvania. Types of treatment include: General Secure Care/Male and Female; Secure Care for Sex Offenders/Male; Independent Living Group Homes/Male; Drug and Alcohol Group Home/Male; Intensive Supervision Group Homes/ Male and Female (inclusive of a specialty unit with a mental health focus/Female; and Shelter/Male and Female. Treatment is individualized, designed to address a number of criminogenic risk factors, inclusive of anger and aggression issues, poor problem solving, mild to moderate mental health issues, strained family dynamics, and trauma. Adelphoi Village's philosophy is that the cycle of degeneration can be altered in favor of positive growth and success through applying the beliefs and principles of brotherly concern coupled with proven modalities.

Youth are placed by the courts within one of Adelphoi Village's General Secure Care programs at varied stages of court involvement. This may be the initial out of home placement for a youth or placement here may be upon the court transitioning a youth already in placement to a more restrictive level of care. The average length of stay varies across Adelphoi Village's different program types. The average length of stay for a youth within one of Adelphoi Village's General Secure Care programs falls within the 5 to 6-month range.

All youth in this program receive Choice Theory Group (CTG). The curriculum lasts for eighteen weeks with a total of eight lesson plans. The first lesson lasts for four weeks and the next seven sessions occur twice a week. Each session is delivered by agency staff with a facilitator and an observer, whom completes a Fidelity Form to provide the facilitator with feedback. The curriculum incorporates several components in order to assist the youth in learning the concepts. Each CTG session begins with a Community Meeting explaining the goals of the group along with the non-negotiable expectations for the youth. Each participating youth receives homework, journal activities, and a contingency/behavioral contract that includes a conflict resolution plan. CTG includes a mentoring component that requires each participant to help or mentor another group member implement the steps of conflict resolution throughout his or her program. When each participant demonstrates this ability, it will be discussed during a CTG meeting and documented on a tracker.

The four characteristics of a service found to be the most strongly related to reducing recidivism:

CCIGIVISIII.				
1. SPEPTM Service Type: Cogn	nitive-behavior Therapy			
Based on the meta-analysis	, is there a qualifying supp	olemental service	e? No	
If so, what is the Service type	e? There is no qualifying	supplemental serv	vice	
Was the supplemental servi	ce provided? n/a Tota	al Points Possibl	e for this Service Type: 35	
	Total Points E	Carned: 35	Total Points Possible: _35	_
3				

2. Quality of Service: Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training and supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.

Total Points Earned: 20 Total Points Possible: 20

3.	Amount of Service: Score was derived from examination of weeks and hours each youth in the cohort received the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP service categorization. Each SPEP service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth shor receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction. Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: 6 Points received for Dosage or Number of Hours: 6					
	Total Points Earned:12 Total Points Possible: _20_					
4.	Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.					
	$\frac{16/17}{5/17}$ youth in the cohort are Moderate, High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of $\frac{12}{5/17}$ points points					
	Total Points Earned: 22 Total Points Possible: 25					
	Basic SPEPTM Score:89 total points awarded out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEP therapeutic service. (eg: individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills training, mentoring, etc.) Note: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the service is having a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Program Optimization Percentage:89% This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. (eg: individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research)					
	The SPEP and Performance Improvement					
	The intended use of the SPEP is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service feedback report, and these recommendations are the focus of the performance improvement plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the local juvenile court. The recommendations for this service included in the feedback report are:					
ser	oice Theory Group scored an 89 for the Basic Score and an 89% Program Optimization Percentage. It is classified as a Group 5 vice; Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy service type. These scores show a decrease of 1 percentage point from the baseline findings of initial SPEP TM assessment. The program could continue to improve its capacity for recidivism reduction through:					
	Regarding Amount of Service: Improve communication with juvenile probation departments that use this service on the research oported amount of service that should be provided for this service type.					

The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPTM):

Service Score Results: Reassessment 2 SPEPTM ID and Time: 0013-T03

Agency Name: Adelphoi

Program Name: General Secure Care (Male & Female)

Service Name: Choice Theory Group

Cohort Total: 51 for Amount of Service, 49 for Risk

Timeframe of Selected Cohort: Began the service on or after 10/1/2018 and ended the service on or before 10/1/2020

Referral County(s): Allegheny (10), Bedford (5), Berks (1), Bucks (3), Cambria (1), Clarion (1), Crawford (1), Cumberland (1), Dauphin (5), Delaware (7), Erie (3),

Huntingdon (1), Lehigh (1), Lycoming (2), Snyder (1), Somerset (1), Washington (2), Westmoreland (2), York (3)

Date(s) of Interview(s): Service Classification: 1/28/2021; Quality of Service Delivery: 3/16/2021

Lead County: Allegheny

Probation Representative(s): William Shultz, Placement Liaison, Allegheny County Juvenile Probation

EPIS Representative: Shannon O'Lone, SPEPTM Implementation Specialist

Description of Service:

Headquartered in Latrobe, PA, Adelphoi has programs in over 30 counties, including residential group homes, foster and adoptive care, education services, and in-home treatment options. Adelphoi serves 1200 children, youth, and families each day. Each residential program is designed to meet the individualized needs of youth in placement. As treatment needs change, a unique continuum of care model allows youth to move from one program to another in a way that will ensure success. Education is provided on-site or through Adelphoi's Ketterer Charter School. Adelphoi encourages participation by the family in the youth's treatment and offers family visitation assistance.

Adelphoi provides various types and levels of residential treatment programs for male and female youth across Pennsylvania. Types of treatment include: shelter, diagnostic, specialized independent living, intensive supervision, intensive supervision with a mental health focus, substance use, sex offender treatment, enhanced supervision, and secure care. Treatment is individualized and designed to address a number of criminogenic risk factors, including anger and aggression issues, poor problem solving, mild to moderate mental health issues, strained family dynamics, and trauma. Adelphoi's philosophy is the cycle of degeneration can be altered in favor of positive growth and success through application of the beliefs and principles of brotherly concern coupled with proven treatment modalities.

Secure Facilities provide locked, secure supervision to adjudicated delinquent male and female youth who have committed serious or chronic offenses, are aggressive runaway risks, or display other serious delinquent behaviors. Youth committed to this program have usually had prior contact with the court system and may have had several prior placements. Step-down into a less secure placement is available as the youth progresses. Adelphoi also offers Secure Care for high risk youth who have been adjudicated for sexually victimizing behavior, have a founded abuse allegation or self-admission of an offense.

Youth are placed by the courts within one of Adelphoi's General Secure Care Programs at varied stages of court involvement. This may be the initial out-of-home placement for a youth or may be upon the court transitioning a youth already in placement, within a more restrictive/secure level of care, to a less restrictive level of care. The average length of stay for a youth within one of Adelphoi's General Secure Care Programs falls within the 5- to 6-month range.

All youth in this program receive Choice Theory Group (CTG). The curriculum lasts for eighteen weeks with a total of eight lesson plans. The first lesson lasts for four weeks with one session each week. The sessions for the last seven weeks occur twice each week. Each session is delivered by agency facilitator and an observer, whom completes a Fidelity Checklist to provide the facilitator with feedback. The curriculum incorporates several components in order to assist the youth in learning the concepts. Each CTG session begins with a Community Meeting explaining the goals of the group along with the non-negotiable expectations for the youth. Each participating youth receives homework, journal activities, and a contingency/behavioral contract that includes a conflict resolution plan. CTG includes a mentoring component that requires each participant to help or mentor another group member implement the steps of conflict resolution throughout his or her program. When each participant demonstrates this ability, it will be discussed during a CTG meeting and documented on a tracker. All participants are expected to complete a contingency contract focusing on conflict resolution and ways to decrease conflict. These contracts can begin any time during the curriculum, but must be successfully earned by the completion of the curriculum.

The four characteristics of a service found to be the most strongly relate	d to redu	icing recidivism:	
1. SPEP TM Service Type: Cognitive Behavioral Therapy			
Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service?	No		
If so, what is the Service Type? There is no qualifying supplemental servi	ce		
Was the supplemental service provided? N_0 Total Points	Total Points Possible for this Service Type:		30
Total Points Received:	35	Total Points Possible:	35
2. Quality of Service: Research has shown that programs that deliver ser positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed.			

Total Points Received:

20

Total Points Possible:

3. <u>Amount of Service</u> : Score was derived by cal service. The amount of service is measured by the SPEP TM service type has varying amounts of dura greatest impact on recidivism reduction.	e target amounts	of service fo	or the S	SPEP™ service categ	gorizatio	on. Each
Points received for Duration or Number of We Points received for Contact Hours or Number		8				
Т	otal Points Rece	ived:	16	Total Points Possi	ble:	20
4. Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is com the total % of youth who score above moderate ri					e low ri	isk, and
youth in the cohort are Moderate, Hig in the cohort are High or Very High Y				a total of youth	10	points points
	Total Points Re		23	Total Points Poss		25
Basic SPEPIM Score: 94 total points received service. (e.g. individual counseling compared to consoler Services with scores greater than or equal to Program Optimization Percentage: 94% The research. (e.g. individual counseling compared to consoler the services with scores greater than or equal to the services greater than or	ognitive behaviore to 50 show the se this percentage co	al therapy, s rvice is have ompares the	social s v ing a p e servic	ckills training, mento, cositive impact on re the to the same servi	ring, etc e cidivisi ce type	c.) m reduction. es found in the
The SPEP TM and Performance Improvement of the intended use of the SPEP TM is to optimize the efficor performance improvement are included in the serve Performance Improvement Plan, a shared responsibility.	ectiveness of red vice Feedback Re	port, and th	ese rec	ommendations are th	e focus	of the
he service could improve its capacity for reconnected ensure higher risk youth are targeted for the		ion by mc	onitori	ng trends in risk	level o	i youth served

™Copyright held by Mark W. Lipsey, Peabody Research Institute, Vanderbilt University. Portions of this content in this fact sheet are adapted from the "Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEP): A User's Guide." Mark W. Lipsey, Ph.D. and Gabrielle Lynn Chapman, Ph.D., Vanderbilt University, October 2014. Last Revised 7.26.2021